

Stantec Consulting Ltd.

401 Wellington Street West, Suite 100 Toronto ON M5V 1E7 Tel: (416) 596-6686

Fax: (416) 596-6680

November 3, 2016 File: 160950528

Attention: Mr. Greg Borchuk, P.Eng.

Project Manager, EFW

Waste Management Services

The Regional Municipality of Durham 605 Rossland Rd., Whitby, ON L1N 6A3

Dear Mr. Borchuk,

Reference: Q3 2016 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Report for the Durham York Energy Centre

Please find attached with this letter the Q3 2016 quarterly report for the Durham York Energy Centre (DYEC).

The quarterly reports for the DYEC monitoring are prepared to present monitoring data to the MOECC. The MOECC requires that several statistics, including maximum levels, be presented in these reports, but does not require 98^{th} percentile values to be included in quarterly reports. Regional Council has requested that 98^{th} percentile $PM_{2.5}$ data also be provided along with the quarterly reports, which is provided in Table 1 below. A comparison to the Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) for $PM_{2.5}$ requires averaging the 98^{th} percentile daily average levels in each of three consecutive years.

Please note that for explicit comparison to the CAAQS for PM_{2.5}, use of annual data based on calendar years is required. The annual periods based on the start of the monitoring presented in Table 1 are, however, a good indication of conformance to the CAAQS standard for PM_{2.5}. The data in Table 1 should be considered preliminary and is included to provide an initial indication of ambient PM_{2.5} level compliance with respect to the CAAQS. Based on this indication of conformance, both ambient monitoring stations demonstrate levels below the 24-hour PM_{2.5} CAAQS of 28 μ g/m³.

Annual average $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are provided in Table 2. As with the 24-hour CAAQS for $PM_{2.5}$, an explicit comparison to the annual CAAQS for $PM_{2.5}$ requires annual data based on three consecutive calendar years. The annual periods based on the start of monitoring presented in Table 2 provide an initial indication of conformance to the annual $PM_{2.5}$ CAAQS. Based on this indication of conformance, both ambient monitoring stations demonstrate 3-year average levels below the annual $PM_{2.5}$ CAAQS of $10 \, \mu g/m^3$.



November 3, 2016 Mr. Greg Borchuk, P.Eng. Project Manager, EFW Waste Management Services Page 2 of 2

Reference: Q3 2016 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Report for the Durham York Energy Centre

Table 1 Summary of the 98th Percentile Daily Average PM_{2.5} Concentrations Measured to Date (μ g/m³)

Period	Courtice Monitoring Station	Rundle Road Monitoring Station
June 2013 – June 2014 (Year 1)	22.6	23.5
July 2014 – June 2015 (Year 2)	23.4	26.6
July 2015 – June 2016 (Year 3)	26.5	27.3
Three Year Average (Years 1-3)	24.2	25.8
July 2016 – September 2016 ¹	13.3	35.2

Note: 1 As only 3 months of data are presented, this data is not comparable to the CAAQs

Table 2 Summary of the Annual Average $PM_{2.5}$ Concentrations Measured to Date $(\mu g/m^3)$

Period	Courtice Monitoring Station	Rundle Road Monitoring Station
June 2013 - June 2014 (Year 1)	9.1	8.7
July 2014 – June 2015 (Year 2)	7.5	9.0
July 2015 – June 2016 (Year 3)	8.1	8.7
Three Year Average (Years 1-3)	8.2	8.8
July 2016 – September 2016 ¹	7.1	9.2

Note: 1 As only 3 months of data are presented, this data is not comparable to the CAAQs

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Gregory Crooks, M.Eng., P.Eng.

Principal, Environmental Services

Phone: (416) 598-7687 Fax: (416) 596-6680

gregory.crooks@stantec.com

c. Gio Anello, Region of Durham Lyndsay Waller, Region of Durham Luis Carvalho, Region of York Seth Dittman, Region of York C. Lim, T. Hung, Stantec

ay v:\01609\active\160950528\planning\report\final\2016\2016q3\q3_2016_cover_letter_borchuk_final.docx